Stone benchtop campaign (stage 2) audit tool

This audit tool addresses the respirable crystalline silica component (RCS) component of the stone benchtop campaign commencing in September 2018.

The audit tool gives specific guidance on six areas of audit that are to be undertaken at assigned workplaces.

The areas are:

- 1. Prohibition of dry cutting
- 2. Minimising risk of exposure to RCS
- 3. Health monitoring
- 4. Respiratory protective equipment
- 5. Information for workers
- 6. Consultation with workers

Each area must be addressed at each assigned workplace.

Enforcement action

Workplaces targeted for the campaign have already received educative material.

This is a compliance campaign. Where activities are observed that expose a person to serious risk, those activities must be prohibited.

Where contraventions cannot be immediately remedied while you are onsite, improvement notices are to be issued.

Example notices have been developed to assist in addressing noncompliance identified with audit criteria - see attachments.

If you require technical support, contact Zachary du Preez on Section 47(3)(b) of or Mimosa Mulvogue on Section 47(3)(b) of the

Record keeping

All CISr entries, inspector note books entries and other record keeping are to be made in line with established OIR practices. The campaign code for all assessments is STONEBENCHSTAGE2.

Instructions for use

Undertaken an assessment of the workplace as per training provided.

Complete all fields of the audit tool, against each criteria. If an audit prompt is not applicable, record N/A in the relevant field/s.

Audit criteria 1.		
Prohibition of dry cutting		
IF THIS		DO THIS
1.1 Dry cutting, grinding or polishing is observed	Yes No	IF YES - Oral direction prohibiting dry cutting activity. See model prohibition notice for dry cutting (attachment 1)
Guidance for inspectors		
Make sure for all processes observed:		
LEV or water suppression is used		
Notes		
1.2 Evidence is obtained from any person that dry cutting occurs at the workplace Guidance for inspectors Ask the PCBU or workers if all tasks are	Yes No	IF YES - Oral direction prohibiting dry cutting activity. See model prohibition notice for dry cutting (attachment 1)
	•	kshop or on site need to be conducted with LEV or water suppression, no exceptions.
Notes	•	600
Monitoring and escalation for Audit Criteria 1		
		e that at unannounced visits occur to determine if the notice is being complied with. The
inspector should also ensure the notice is displ	layed. Any r	non-compliance with the prohibition notice must be escalated for comprehensive investigation

File A - RTI 220095 62 of 69 Office of Industrial Relations

Audit criteria 2.		
Minimising exposure to RCS		
IF THIS		DO THIS
 2.1 Water suppression is used and is adequately managing dust risks Guidance for inspectors - Tools and machinery used have been with water attachments (check all electric drills if they are water supressed) An adequate number of water feeds and/or tool to prevent visible dust does and/or tool to prevent visible dust does and/or tool. Adequate water pressure is maintain reaching the material and/or tool. Adequate guards, plastic flaps or brus suppressed tools and machinery. Notes 	ectrical grind are directed uring the pro led to make	 ders, polishers and used to complete sink and stovetop cut outs. Hand-held angle grinders are fitted with water feeds to deliver water to the cutting disc and point of contact with the stone. Wet-edge milling machines or polishing machines are water supressed. Polishers have a centre water feed.
2.2 LEV is used but and is adequately managing dust risks	Yes No	IF NO - Oral direction prohibiting dry cutting activity. See model prohibition notice for dry cutting (attachment 1) and issue improvement notice for LEV (attachment 3).
 Hand tools (for example drills, circular (HEPA) filter. 	saws, grinde	ed for local exhaust ventilation attachments. ers) are equipped with a shroud and a H class rated vacuum with a high efficiency particulate air o capture dust are at or very close to the point of generation.
Notes 2.3 Workers are isolated from dust generating process	Yes No	IF NO - One improvement notice for each contravention should be issued. See model improvement notice for isolation (attachment four).

Guidance for inspectors –	
Water mist created by workers or machinery is observed moving into other work areas or towards other workers.	
Workers are not provided a separate room or area away from the fabrication area for food preparation and dining.	
Notes	
2.4 Adequate ventilation is provided for Yes No IF NO - One improvement notice for each contravention should be issued. See model	
workers improvement notice for ventilation (attachment five).	
Multiple deficiencies within the same control may be accommodated on the one notice.	
Wattaple denote the same control and see decommodated on the one notice.	
Guidance for inspectors –	
There is good natural or mechanical ventilation.	
Notes	
2.5 Cleaning and housekeeping is adequate Yes No IF NO - One improvement notice for each contravention should be issued. See model	
improvement notice for cleaning (attachment six).	
Multiple deficiencies within the same control may be accommodated on the one notice.	
Guidance for inspectors –	
Check there is a dedicated cleaning regime in place. It should have the elements identified in training.	
These include;	
Must be done daily	
Must be done by low pressure water, wet sweeping or a H class rated vacuum with a HEPA filter	
Floors, walls and all surfaces must be cleaned	
Dry sweeping and compressed air must be prohibited	
Notes	

File A - RTI 220095 64 of 69 Office of Industrial Relations

2.6 Wet dust and slurry is managed managed	Yes No IF NO - One improvement notice for each contravention should be issued. See model improvement notice for wet dust management (attachment seven). Multiple deficiencies within the same control may be accommodated on the one notice.
Guidance for inspectors –	
This measure is related to cleaning hower	ver is aimed at preventing dust build-up following the drying of dust containing water.
Check for the following indicators of poor	housekeeping:
 Dry dusty floors 	
 Uncontrolled water spray from to not using guards, plastic damaged or missing flaps Water spray of one process disch Water pooling and on the floor at Stone sludge building up and clog 	flaps, brush guarding or sor guarding or arging into adjacent work areas and being allowed to dry
Notes	
Monitoring and escalation for Audit Crite	eria 2.
	(whether by attendance at the workplace or otherwise) that the deficiencies identified have been remedied and
the steps that were required to be taken	have been taken.
Obtain sufficient evidence to demonstrat the Project co-ordinator.	e that the contravention has been remedied. Where compliance has not been achieved escalate the matter to

Audit criteria 3. Health monitoring **IF THIS DO THIS 3.1** Health monitoring is being undertaken IF NO - One Improvement notice for health monitoring (see attachment eight). Yes No for each worker exposed to RCS. Allow 8 weeks for compliance - Medical appointments may take some time to arrange. Inspector guidance -For the health monitoring requirements and standards see the industry guidance pack. If health monitoring has been undertaken (or is purported to have been undertaken) then the enforcement action should NOT be taken. Contact the Project Co-ordinator for further advice on how to assess whether the health monitoring is acceptable. Notes Monitoring and Escalation for Audit Criteria 3. Shortly after the compliance date assess (whether by attendance at the workplace or otherwise) that the following steps towards health monitoring have been taken. The long compliance time allowed in the notice is so that this can be achieved by the PCBU. A worker has attended for the initial medical examination with the health practitioner undertaking the health monitoring. The health practitioner is either (1) from the list of occupational physicians or (2) the PCBU has supplied evidence that the practitioner is experienced in health monitoring. If the above is not demonstrated the improvement notice has not been complied with. The non-compliance must be escalated for comprehensive

File A - RTI 220095 Office of Industrial Relations

investigation with a view to prosecution. The referral should be made within two weeks of identification of non-compliance and in accordance with the

referral protocol.

IF THIS		DO THIS
 4.1 For each worker working within the RCS containing workshop: Each worker has suitable RPE Each worker has a certificate of fit test. 	No	IF NO - One Improvement notice for provision. See model notice (attachment nine). One Improvement notice for fit test. See model notice (attachment ten).
 Suitable RPE is supplied. at least a half face respirator with a Pi the respirator provided notes complia Each worker must have been fit tested. Evider 	ince witl	·
Notes		
 4.2 For the workplace, a system exists for: Maintaining, repairing and replacing of RPE Ensuring workers wear RPE at all times whilst in workshop 	No	IF NO - One Improvement notice for maintenance. See model notice (attachment eleven).
	ed as new roken or for RPE with dam ke sure t	repairs aged RPE hey are replaced at least daily

File A - RTI 220095 67 of 69 Office of Industrial Relations

Monitoring and Escalation for Audit Criteria 4. Shortly after the compliance date assess (whether by atte	endance at the work	place or otherwise) specifically check the following.
 Suitable RPE has been supplied to all workers Each worker has a certificate of fit test The PCBU requires the use of RPE at all times when in The PCBU has a RPE maintenance regime in place 	n workshop	
Audit criteria 5.		
Information for workers		
IF THIS	DO THIS	

IF NO - One Improvement notice for provision of information. See model notice (attachment

Inspector guidance –

the risk of exposure to RCS.

Ascertain whether the information supplied at least meets the information contained in the industry guide.

No

Yes

Notes

Monitoring and Escalation for Audit Criteria 5.

5.1 The PCBU has provided information to

workers who are exposed to RCS, about

Shortly after the compliance date assess (whether by attendance at the workplace or otherwise) specifically check the following;

twelve).

• Workers have been provided with a copy of the industry guide at a minimum.

IF THIS			DO THIS	
5.1 The workplace has undertaken consultation about making decisions about ways to eliminate or minimise risks relating to RCS including consultation on RPE selection.	Yes	OO	IF NO - One Improvement notice for consultation. See model notice (attachment thirteen).	
nspector guidance –				
 Request evidence/records from the PCBU Check for records of tool box talk 		tion t	o what consultation has been done.	
Ask workers about consultation	KS			
Notes				
Monitoring and Escalation for Audit Criteria	6.			
Shortly after the compliance date assess (who Specifically check the following.	ether by	y atte	ndance at the workplace or otherwise) that consultation with all workers has occurred.	
The consultation occurred with all worke	rs who	are ex	posed to RCS	
 The consultation included consultation a 	bout mi	nimis	ing risks associated with RCS exposure.	
	1	+ infa	rmation was shared.	