# Stone benchtop campaign (stage 2) audit tool

This audit tool addresses the respirable crystalline silica component (RCS) component of the stone benchtop campaign commencing in September 2018.

The audit tool gives specific guidance on six areas of audit that are to be undertaken at assigned workplaces.

## The areas are:

- 1. Prohibition of dry cutting
- 2. Minimising risk of exposure to RCS
- 3. Health monitoring
- 4. Respiratory protective equipment
- 5. Information for workers
- 6. Consultation with workers

Each area must be addressed at each assigned workplace.

### **Enforcement action**

Workplaces targeted for the campaign have already received educative material.

This is a compliance campaign. Where activities are observed that expose a person to serious risk, those activities must be prohibited.

Where contraventions cannot be immediately remedied while you are onsite, improvement notices are to be issued.

Example notices have been developed to assist in addressing noncompliance identified with audit criteria - see attachments.

If you require technical support, contact Zachary du Preez o Section 47 (3)(b) of or Mimosa Mulvogue on Section 47 (3)(b) of the

## **Record keeping**

All CISr entries, inspector note books entries and other record keeping are to be made in line with established OIR practices. The campaign code for all assessments is STONEBENCHSTAGE2.

#### Instructions for use

Undertaken an assessment of the workplace as per training provided.

Complete all fields of the audit tool, against each criteria. If an audit prompt is not applicable, record N/A in the relevant field/s.

Audit criteria 1.		
Prohibition of dry cutting		
IF THIS		DO THIS
<b>1.1</b> Dry cutting, grinding or polishing is observed	Yes No ✓	IF YES - Oral direction prohibiting dry cutting activity. See model prohibition notice for dry cutting (attachment 1)
Guidance for inspectors		
Make sure for all processes observed:		
LEV or water suppression is used		
Notes		
Stopped dry cutting in June 2018.		100
<b>1.2</b> Evidence is obtained from any person that dry cutting occurs at the workplace	Yes No ✓	IF YES - Oral direction prohibiting dry cutting activity. See model prohibition notice for dry cutting (attachment 1)
Guidance for inspectors		
<ul> <li>Ask the PCBU or workers if all tasks a</li> </ul>	re completed	d with LEV or water suppression
Even minor or one off fabrication tas	ks at the wor	kshop or on site need to be conducted with LEV or water suppression, no exceptions.
Notes		
Workers stated dry cutting stopped in	approx Jun	ne 2018.
, , ,	•	
	should ensur	e that at unannounced visits occur to determine if the notice is being complied with. The non-compliance with the prohibition notice must be escalated for comprehensive investigation

RTI 220096 20 of 27 Office of Industrial Relations

IF THIS	DO THIS	
2.1 Water suppression is used and is adequately managing dust risks  Guidance for inspectors -  Tools and machinery used have been spe with water attachments (check all electric drills if they are water supressed)  An adequate number of water feeds are and/or tool to prevent visible dust during  Adequate water pressure is maintained to reaching the material and/or tool.	issue improvement cifically designed for use cal grinders, polishers and directed at the material the process.	<ul> <li>on prohibiting activity. See model prohibition notice (attachment 1) and notice for water suppression (attachment 2).</li> <li>Bridge saws are fitted with water attachments.</li> <li>Water suppressed routers, water jet cutters or bridge saws are used to complete sink and stovetop cut outs.</li> <li>Hand-held angle grinders are fitted with water feeds to deliver water to the cutting disc and point of contact with the stone.</li> <li>Wet-edge milling machines or polishing machines are water supressed.</li> <li>Polishers have a centre water feed.</li> </ul>
Adequate guards, plastic flaps or brush guards, plastic flaps or brush guards and machinery.  Notes  Bridge saws did not have any guards/plas The mitre saw had plastic flaps to manage  2.2 LEV is used but and is adequately  Yes	tic flaps or brush guards e dust.	to suppress the spray of water/dust.  on prohibiting dry cutting activity. See model prohibition notice for dry
managing dust risks		t 1) <b>and</b> issue improvement notice for LEV (attachment 3).
<ul> <li>Guidance for inspectors –</li> <li>Tools and machinery have been specifically</li> <li>Hand tools (for example drills, circular saws (HEPA) filter.</li> <li>Install fixed, portable or flexible capturing beginning to the company of the c</li></ul>	s, grinders) are equipped with	n a shroud and a H class rated vacuum with a high efficiency particulate air
Notes _EV not utilised at workplace.		
2.3 Workers are isolated from dust generating process	improvement notic	ement notice for each contravention should be issued. See model e for isolation (attachment four). es within the same control may be accommodated on the one notice.

•	is observed moving into other work areas or towards other workers. area away from the fabrication area for food preparation and dining.
Notes	
Workers are provided a separate room upsta	irs which is aware from the fabrication area for dining and meal preparation
<b>2.4</b> Adequate ventilation is provided for workers	No IF NO - One improvement notice for each contravention should be issued. See model improvement notice for ventilation (attachment five).  Multiple deficiencies within the same control may be accommodated on the one notice.
$\checkmark$	invalidate deficiencies within the same control may be accommodated on the one notice.
<ul> <li>Guidance for inspectors –</li> <li>There is good natural or mechanical ventilation</li> </ul>	ion.
Notes	401
Good natural ventilation at time of visit. Large roller d	
2.5 Cleaning and housekeeping is adequate Yes	No IF NO - One improvement notice for each contravention should be issued. See model improvement notice for cleaning (attachment six).
	Multiple deficiencies within the same control may be accommodated on the one notice.
Guidance for inspectors –	
Check there is a dedicated cleaning regime in place. These include;	It should have the elements identified in training.
Must be done daily	
<ul> <li>Must be done by low pressure water, wet so</li> </ul>	veeping or a H class rated vacuum with a HEPA filter
Floors, walls and all surfaces must be cleaned.	
Dry sweeping and compressed air must be p	prohibited
Notes	
	ent had residual dust built up. Cleaning is conducted regularly but is not adequate. The had a large build up of dried slurry on the walls. The meal preparation/dining area had furniture provided.

2.6 Wet dust and slurry is managed managed	Yes No	IF NO - One improvement notice for each contravention should be issued. See model improvement notice for wet dust management (attachment seven).  Multiple deficiencies within the same control may be accommodated on the one notice.
Guidance for inspectors –		
This measure is related to cleaning howe	ver is aimed at	preventing dust build-up following the drying of dust containing water.
Check for the following indicators of poo		
Dry dusty floors		
<ul> <li>Uncontrolled water spray from to</li> </ul>	ools and equip	ment by either:
o not using guards, plastic	flaps, brush gu	arding or
<ul> <li>damaged or missing flaps</li> </ul>	s or guarding o	n machinery or equipment
<ul> <li>Water spray of one process disch</li> </ul>	arging into adj	jacent work areas
<ul> <li>Water pooling and on the floor a</li> </ul>	nd being allow	ed to dry
<ul> <li>Stone sludge building up and clog</li> </ul>	gging drains	
Notes		
Surfaces including walls, floors and	d equipment	had residual dust built up. Cleaning is conducted regularly but is not adequate. The
working bays out the back in the or	oen area hac	d a large build up of dried slurry on the walls.
There was no guarding on the brid	ge saw, the	re was dust_uild up in areas on the saw and in the vicinity.
Monitoring and escalation for Audit Crit		
		ttendance at the workplace or otherwise) that the deficiencies identified have been remedied and
the steps that were required to be taken	have been tak	en.
Obtain sufficient evidence to demonstrat	e that the con	travention has been remedied. Where compliance has not been achieved escalate the matter to

the Project co-ordinator.

Audit criteria 3.				
Health monitoring				
IF THIS		DO THIS		
<b>3.1</b> Health monitoring is being undertaken	Yes No	IF NO - One Improvement notice for health monitoring (see attachment eight).		
for each worker exposed to RCS.		Allow 8 weeks for compliance - Medical appointments may take some time to arrange.		
Inspector guidance –				
<ul> <li>For the health monitoring requirement</li> </ul>	ents and stand	dards see the industry guidance pack.		
<ul> <li>If health monitoring has been under</li> </ul>	taken (or is p	urported to have been undertaken) then the enforcement action should NOT be taken.		
Contact the Project Co-ordinator for	further advic	e on how to assess whether the health monitoring is acceptable.		
Notes				
All workers have either been been boo	oked in for l	health monitoring or have received health monitoring.		
		<b>40</b>		
Monitoring and Escalation for Audit Criteria				
		indance at the workplace or otherwise) that the following steps towards health monitoring have		
been taken. The long compliance time allow	ed in the noti	ce is so that this can be achieved by the PCBU.		
A worker has attended for the initial me	dical examina	ition with the health practitioner undertaking the health monitoring.		
The health practitioner is either (1) from in health monitoring.	the list of oc	cupational physicians or (2) the PCBU has supplied evidence that the practitioner is experienced		
If the above is not demonstrated the improv	ement notice	has not been complied with. The non-compliance must be escalated for comprehensive		

RTI 220096 24 of 27 Office of Industrial Relations

investigation with a view to prosecution. The referral should be made within two weeks of identification of non-compliance and in accordance with the

referral protocol.

Audit criteria 4.	
Respiratory Protective Equipment	
IF THIS	DO THIS
<ul> <li>4.1 For each worker working within the RCS containing workshop:</li> <li>Each worker has suitable RPE</li> <li>Each worker has a certificate of fit test.</li> </ul>	IF NO - One Improvement notice for provision. See model notice (attachment nine).  One Improvement notice for fit test. See model notice (attachment ten).
<ul> <li>Inspector guidance –</li> <li>Suitable RPE is supplied.         <ul> <li>at least a half face respirator with a P1 or P2</li> <li>the respirator provided notes compliance with</li> </ul> </li> <li>Each worker must have been fit tested. Evidence may</li> </ul>	
Notes Workers are provided with RPE (P3 masks). The	e RPE has not been fit tested for workers.
<ul> <li>4.2 For the workplace, a system exists for: <ul> <li>Maintaining, repairing and replacing of RPE</li> <li>Ensuring workers wear RPE at all times whilst in workshop</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	IF NO - One Improvement notice for maintenance. See model notice (attachment eleven).
Inspector guidance –  • Workers must wear RPE at all times when fabricating	E repairs naged RPE they are replaced at least daily
Notes Workers store the RPE in containers at the end	of the shift and when not in use. The RPE is replaced when necessary.

## Monitoring and Escalation for Audit Criteria 4.

Shortly after the compliance date assess (whether by attendance at the workplace or otherwise) specifically check the following.

- Suitable RPE has been supplied to all workers
- Each worker has a certificate of fit test
- The PCBU requires the use of RPE at all times when in workshop
- The PCBU has a RPE maintenance regime in place

Audit criteria 5.			
Information for workers			
IF THIS			DO THIS
<b>5.1</b> The PCBU has provided information to workers who are exposed to RCS, about	Yes	No	IF NO - One Improvement notice for provision of information. See model notice (attachment twelve).
the risk of exposure to RCS.	<b>✓</b>		103
Inspector guidance –			
Ascertain whether the information supplied	at leas	t meet	s the information contained in the industry guide.
Notes			
Workers have been provided with the	inforn	mation	regarding the risks of exposure to RCS.
Monitoring and Escalation for Audit Criteria			
Shortly after the compliance date assess (wh	ether	by atte	ndance at the workplace or otherwise) specifically check the following;
<ul> <li>Workers have been provided with a copy</li> </ul>	of the	e indus	try guide at a minimum.

	IF THIS		DO THIS	
<b>5.1</b> The workplace has undertaken consultation about making decisions about ways to eliminate or minimise risks relating to RCS including consultation on RPE selection.	Yes	No	IF NO - One Improvement notice for consultation. See model notice (attachment thirteen).	
Inspector guidance –				
Request evidence/records from the PCB		ation t	o what consultation has been done.	
<ul><li>Check for records of tool box tal</li><li>Ask workers about consultation</li></ul>	KS			
<ul> <li>Ask workers about consultation</li> </ul>			40	
Notes				
Workers have been consulted about v	vays to	o elim	inate or minimise risks relating to RCS.	
Monitoring and Escalation for Audit Criteria	6.			
Shortly after the compliance date assess (wh	nether b	y atte	ndance at the workplace or otherwise) that consultation with all workers has occurred.	
Specifically check the following.				
	ers who		•	
	J	nınımıc	ing risks associated with RCS exposure.	
<ul> <li>The consultation occurred with all worke</li> <li>The consultation included consultation a</li> <li>The consultation occurred only after the</li> </ul>			·	